arXiv:1209.5042v2 [gr-qc] 29 Nov 2012

The Canonical Lagrangian Approach To Three-Space General Relativity

Vasudev Shyam and Madhavan Venkatesh

Centre for Fundamental Research and Creative Education,
Bangalore, India

November 30, 2012

Abstract

We study the action for the three-space formalism of General Relativity, better known as the BFÓ (Barbour–Foster–Ó Murchadha) action, which is a square-root BSW (Baierlein–Sharp–Wheeler) Jacobi-type action. In particular, we explore the (pre)symplectic structure by pulling it back via a Legendre map to the tangent bundle of the configuration space of this action. With it we attain the canonical Lagrangian vector field which generates the gauge transformations (3-diffeomorphisms) and the true physical evolution of the system. This vector field encapsulates all the dynamics of the system. We also discuss briefly the observables and perennials for this theory. We then present a symplectic reduction of the constrained phase space and a projection from this space to superspace.

1 Introduction

In their 'timeless' derivation of canonical general relativity via a theory which presupposes neither the relativity principle nor spacetime, Barbour *et al* ([1]) use a reparametrization invariant action of Jacobi type, namely the Baierlein–Sharp–Wheeler (BSW) action, where the Lagrangian is integrated over an unphysical evolution parameter. We shall take a brief detour into their theory.

1.1 The BSW action and 'Relativity without Relativity'

The configuration space of the system considered here is Superspace, which is basically the set of all Riemannian metrics modulo a proper subgroup of the full 3 dimensional diffeomorphism group of a three manifold which, in the conventional ADM setting, is a space like hyper-surface embedded in spacetime. The topology is fixed so that the three manifold $\Sigma \cong S^3$. The ADM action for the standard (3+1) split is

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}^3xN(\sqrt{\gamma}R) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}(K_{ab}K^{ab} - \mathrm{tr}K^2). \tag{1}$$

Now we replace K_{ab} with k_{ab} where

$$k_{ab} = \dot{\gamma}_{ab} - \mathcal{L}_{\xi^a}\gamma_{ab}. \tag{2}$$

The ξ is an arbitrary vector field with respect to which the Lie Derivative acting on the metric represents the action of the 3 diffeomorphism group on the configuration space (which turns out to be equal to the shift of ADM gravity) and the over dot denotes differentiation with respect to an unphysical evolution parameter λ and so the action now looks like

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}^3x \left[NR - \frac{1}{4N}(k_{ab}k^{ab} - \mathrm{tr}k^2)\right]. \tag{3}$$

Varying with respect to N, we get

$$N = \sqrt{\frac{k_{ab}k^{ab} - \mathrm{tr}k^2}{4R}}. \tag{4}$$

Putting this back into the action, we find that

$$S = \int \mathrm{d}\lambda\mathrm{d}^3x\sqrt{\gamma}\sqrt{R}\sqrt{T}, \tag{5}$$

where the 'Kinetic Energy' term T is

$$T = G^{abcd}(\dot{\gamma}_{ab} - \mathcal{L}_{\xi^a}\gamma_{ab})(\dot{\gamma}_{cb} - \mathcal{L}_{\xi^a}\gamma_{cd}), \tag{6}$$

and the G^{abcd} is the (inverse) DeWitt Supermetric.

1.2 Some Preliminaries

Here we shall discuss some of the mathematical preliminaries of our formalism. The system described in this paper adheres to the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & R & \\
T^*Met(\Sigma) \arrow[ur, "\mathcal{H}"] \arrow[dr, "\rho^*_{Met(\Sigma)}"'] & & TMet(\Sigma) \arrow[ul, "\mathrm{E}"'] \arrow[ll, "\mathrm{FL}"'] \arrow[dl, "\rho_{Met(\Sigma)}"] \\
 & Met(\Sigma) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $Met(\Sigma)$ is the configuration space. FL is the fiber derivative between the tangent and the cotangent bundle. When it acts pointwise, it is identified with the standard Legendre transformation. The $\rho_{Met(\Sigma)}$s are the bundle projections from the tangent and the cotangent bundle down to $Met(\Sigma)$. $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hamiltonian and E is the energy function of the BFÓ Lagrangian, $L_{BFO} : TMet(\Sigma) \to R$, given by

$$L_{BFO} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \sqrt{\gamma}\sqrt{T}\sqrt{R}.$$

For a presymplectic manifold M, which possesses a presymplectic form: Ω, a vector field

$$X_f \in TM$$

is said to be Hamiltonian if both

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_f}\Omega = 0$$

$$\iota_{X_f}\Omega = \mathrm{d}f$$

are satisfied, whereas it is locally Hamiltonian if only

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_f}\Omega = 0$$

is satisfied globally. It should be noted that this terminology is carried over even to the tangent bundle of $Met(\Sigma)$ in this paper.

2 The Lagrangian Presymplectic Potential

Now we shall derive the Lagrangian presymplectic potential, from which the presymplectic two form will follow. In this section we shall use the functional exterior derivative denoted by d_δ whose action is defined by

$$\mathrm{d}_\delta : \Omega^p(Met(\Sigma)) \to \Omega^{p+1}(Met(\Sigma)). \tag{7}$$

Its properties are

$$\mathrm{d}_\delta f = \frac{\delta f}{\delta x^a}\delta x^a$$

for $f \in \Omega^0(Met(\Sigma))$

$$\mathrm{d}_\delta(\omega \wedge \mu) = \mathrm{d}_\delta \omega \wedge \mu + (-1)^{pq}\mathrm{d}_\delta \mu \wedge \omega$$

$$\mathrm{d}_\delta \mathrm{d}_\delta = 0$$

$\forall \omega \in \Omega^p(Met(\Sigma))$ and $\forall \mu \in \Omega^q(Met(\Sigma))$. By Lagrangian presymplectic potential we mean the pullback via FL of the Hamiltonian presymplectic potential i.e.

$$\Theta = (FL)^* \theta_{\mathcal{H}},$$

and, correspondingly, the presymplectic Lagrangian two form is given by

$$\Omega = (FL)^* \mathrm{d}\theta_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Therefore the Legendre map endows the tangent bundle of the configuration space too with presymplectic structure.

2.1 The Constraint Submanifold of $TMet(\Sigma)$

In the BFÓ approach, the Hamiltonian constraint of general relativity arises from the square root identity of the local square root action (thus the BFÓ authors recover infinitely many Hamiltonian constraints, i.e, one for each space point),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}(\pi^{ab}\pi_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\pi^2) - \sqrt{\gamma}R = 0, \tag{8}$$

and the diffeomorphism constraint arises from their best matching method where

$$\delta_\xi S_{BSW} = 0, \tag{9}$$

which gives us

$$-2\nabla_a \pi^{ab} = 0. \tag{10}$$

The surfaces where these constraints are satisfied thus form the constraint submanifolds of $T^*Met(\Sigma)$. We shall attempt to attain a similar constraint submanifold on $TMet(\Sigma)$. From the presymplectic algorithm on $T^*Met(\Sigma)$, we know that there exists an inclusion mapping from the final constraint submanifold $\Gamma_{\mathcal{H}}$ to $T^*Met(\Sigma)$, that is

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{H}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\mathcal{H}}} T^*Met(\Sigma). \tag{11}$$

And, by the pullback of the presymplectic form to $TMet(\Sigma)$,it too should possess a final constraint submanifold which shall be obtained from the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^*Met(\Sigma) & & TMet(\Sigma) \arrow[ll, "FL"'] \\
\\
\Gamma_H \arrow[uu, "\pi_{\mathcal{H}}"] \arrow[rr, "\pi_E^{-1} \circ (FL)^{-1} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{H}}"'] & & \Gamma_E \arrow[uu, "\pi_E"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

We know that

$$(FL)^{-1} = F\mathcal{H}, \tag{12}$$

since

$$E = \mathcal{H} \circ FL = \pi_{ab}\dot{\gamma}^{ab} - L. \tag{13}$$

Therefore, the above mapping from the cotangent bundle constraint submanifold to that of the tangent bundle can be given by

$$\Gamma_H \xrightarrow{\pi_E^{-1} \circ F\mathcal{H} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{H}}} \Gamma_E$$

And so, the restriction of the Lagrangian presymplectic potential to $TMet(\Sigma)$'s constraint submanifold is

$$(\pi_E^{-1} \circ F\mathcal{H} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{H}})^* \theta_{\mathcal{H}}|_{\Gamma_{\mathcal{H}}} = \Theta|_{\Gamma_E}. \tag{14}$$

2.2 The Derivation of the Potential

In this section we present the derivation of the Lagrangian presymplectic potential from the BFÓ action. We have

$$\delta S = 0 = \delta \int \mathrm{d}\lambda \mathrm{d}^3 x \sqrt{\gamma}\sqrt{R}\sqrt{T} \tag{15}$$

$$=> \int \mathrm{d}\lambda \mathrm{d}^3 x \delta\sqrt{\gamma}\sqrt{R}\sqrt{T} + \int \mathrm{d}\lambda \mathrm{d}^3 x \sqrt{\gamma}\delta\sqrt{R}\sqrt{T} + \int \mathrm{d}\lambda \mathrm{d}^3 x \sqrt{\gamma}\sqrt{R}\delta\sqrt{T}.$$

Expanding the variation of the third term explicitly, and integrating by parts, we attain

$$\int \mathrm{d}^3 x \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}} \frac{\delta T}{\delta \dot{\gamma}_{ab}} \delta\gamma^{ab}|_{\lambda} - \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \mathrm{d}\lambda \delta\gamma_{ab} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta \dot{\gamma}_{ab}} \right) \right] + \delta\sqrt{\gamma}\sqrt{R}\sqrt{T} + \tag{16}$$

$$\sqrt{\gamma}\delta\sqrt{R}\sqrt{T}.$$

The second term shall vanish by the Euler-Lagrange equations, but our interest is with the first term

$$\int \mathrm{d}^3 x \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}} \frac{\delta T}{\delta \dot{\gamma}_{ab}} \delta\gamma^{ab}|_{\lambda} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}} G^{abcd} [\dot{\gamma}_{ab} - \mathcal{L}_{\xi^a}\gamma_{ab}] \delta\gamma_{ab} = \Theta|_{\Gamma_E}. \tag{17}$$

where $\Theta|_{\Gamma_E}$ is the presymplectic potential constrained to the constraint submanifold Γ_E. The presymplectic two form is thus

$$\mathrm{d}_\delta \Theta|_{\Gamma_E} = \Omega|_{\Gamma_E} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 x (\mathrm{d}_\delta \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}} k_{ab} \wedge \mathrm{d}_\delta \gamma^{ab}). \tag{18}$$

3 Dynamics and the Presymplectic Equation

Since the presymplectic two form is degenerate, we can only derive a Canonical locally Hamiltonian vector field called the Lagrangian vector field which satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_X \mathrm{d}_\delta \Theta|_{\Gamma_E} = 0 \tag{19}$$

$$=> \iota_X \mathrm{d}_\delta^2 \Theta|_{\Gamma_E} + \mathrm{d}_\delta \iota_X \mathrm{d}_\delta \Theta|_{\Gamma_E} = 0. \tag{20}$$

The first term vanished by $\mathrm{d}_\delta^2 = 0$,

$$\mathrm{d}_\delta \iota_X \mathrm{d}_\delta \Theta|_{\Gamma_E} = 0 \tag{21}$$

$$\iota_X \mathrm{d}_\delta \Theta|_{\Gamma_E} = \mathrm{d}_\delta E|_{\Gamma_E}. \tag{22}$$

This is due to the Poincare Lemma, and the E is the Energy Functional, which is given by

$$E|_{\Gamma_E} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}} G^{abcd} k_{ab} k_{cd} - \sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}} R,$$

and so

$$X|_{\Gamma_E} = \pi_{E*}X = X_E,$$

where π_E is the mapping from the constraint surface to the total phase space. From this, we attain the expression for the (locally Hamiltonian) Lagrangian vector field

$$X_E = \sqrt{\frac{4T}{\gamma R}}[k_{ab} + \mathcal{L}_{\xi^a}\gamma_{ab}]\frac{\delta}{\delta\gamma_{ab}} - [\sqrt{\frac{\gamma T}{4R}}(R^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{ab}R) - \sqrt{\frac{T}{\gamma R}}(k^{ac}k_c^b - \frac{1}{2}kk^{ab}) \quad (23)$$

$$-\nabla^a\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}}\nabla^b\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}} + \gamma^{ab}\nabla^2\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}} + \mathcal{L}_{\xi^a}\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}}k^{ab}]\frac{\delta}{\delta k^{ab}}. \quad (24)$$

The canonical Lagrangian vector field belongs to $TTMet(\Sigma)$. On $TT^*Met(\Sigma)$ the Hamiltonian vector field would satisfy the presymplectic equation

$$(X_{\mathcal{H}})^\flat|_{\Gamma_{\mathcal{H}}} = 0,$$

where

$$\flat : TT^*Met(\Sigma) \to T^*T^*Met(\Sigma),$$

acts as a local isomorphism when restricted to the constraint submanifold. Now, in order to attain the same for our present formalism, we refer to the diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^*T^*Met(\Sigma) \arrow[r, "T^*FL"] & T^*TMet(\Sigma) \\
TT^*Met(\Sigma) \arrow[r, "TFL"] \arrow[u, "\flat"] & TTMet(\Sigma) \arrow[u, "T^*FL \circ \flat \circ (TFL)^{-1}"'] \\
T^*Met(\Sigma) \arrow[r, "FL"] \arrow[u, "X_{\mathcal{H}}"] & TMet(\Sigma) \arrow[u, "X_E"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

And so we obtain the presymplectic equation for the Canonical Lagrangian vector field:

$$(X_E)^{(T^*FL\circ\flat\circ(TFL)^{-1})}|_{\Gamma_E} = 0, \quad (25)$$

which for the sake of brevity shall be written as

$$(X_E)^{\text{♩}}|_{\Gamma_E} = 0, \quad (26)$$

where

$$\text{♩} := (T^*FL \circ \flat \circ (TFL)^{-1}),$$

whose action on vector fields of $TTMet(\Sigma)$ is defined as

$$Z^{♩} = \Omega(Z).$$

So, in totality, the geometry of the dynamical system described by the BFÓ action is given by:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T^*T^*Met(\Sigma) \arrow[rr, "T^*FL"] & & T^*TMet(\Sigma) \\
TT^*Met(\Sigma) \arrow[rr, "TFL"] \arrow[u, "\flat"] & & TTMet(\Sigma) \arrow[u, "♩"'] \\
T^*Met(\Sigma) \arrow[rr, "FL"] \arrow[u, "X_{\mathcal{H}}"] \arrow[dr, "\rho^*_{Met(\Sigma)}"] & & TMet(\Sigma) \arrow[u, "X_E"'] \arrow[dl, "\rho_{Met(\Sigma)}"'] \\
 & Met(\Sigma) & \\
\Gamma_H \arrow[rr, "\pi_E^{-1} \circ (FL)^{-1} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{H}}"] \arrow[uu, "\pi_{\mathcal{H}}"] & & \Gamma_E \arrow[uu, "\pi_E"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

3.1 Flows Of The Canonical Vector Field

The canonical flow of a function on $TMet\Sigma$ is given by the solution to the following Cauchy problem

$$f^0_E[z(\gamma_{ab}, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab})] = z(\gamma_{ab}, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab}) \tag{27}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} f^\lambda_E[z(\gamma_{ab}, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab})]|_{\lambda=0} = X_E[z(\gamma_{ab}, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab})]. \tag{28}$$

This can be solved by

$$f_E^{\lambda}[z(\gamma_{ab}, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab})] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} X_E^n[z(\gamma_{ab}, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab})]. \tag{29}$$

So,

$$f_E^{\lambda}[z(\gamma_{ab}, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab})] = z(\gamma_{ab}, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab}; \lambda). \tag{30}$$

Now the entire evolution dynamics of the RWR theory is given by the Euler Lagrange equation for γ_{ab}:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}p^{ab}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma T}{4R}}(R^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{ab}R) - \sqrt{\frac{T}{\gamma R}}(p^{ac}p_c^b - \frac{1}{2}pp^{ab}) \tag{31}$$

$$-\nabla^a\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}}\nabla^b\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}} + \gamma^{ab}\nabla^2\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}} + \mathcal{L}_{\xi^a}p^{ab}, \tag{32}$$

which, in our formalism, is nothing but

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\left[\sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab}(\lambda)\right]|_{\lambda=0} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma T}{4R}}(R^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{ab}R) - \sqrt{\frac{T}{\gamma R}}(k^{ac}k_c^b - \frac{1}{2}kk^{ab}) - \tag{33}$$

$$\nabla^a\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}}\nabla^b\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}} + \gamma^{ab}\nabla^2\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}} + \mathcal{L}_{\xi^a}\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}}k^{ab}, \tag{34}$$

which is but the flow equation for the velocity conjugate to the metric on $TMet(\Sigma)$.

3.2 The Splitting of the Canonical Vector field

The algebra of canonical Lagrangian vector fields derived in the previous section is given by

$$\{X : \mathcal{L}_X \mathrm{d}_\delta\Theta|_{\Gamma_E} = 0; X \in TTMet(\Sigma)\} = \natural(\Omega^1_{\text{closed}}(Met(\Sigma))). \tag{35}$$

(Here $\Omega^1_{\text{closed}}(Met(\Sigma)$ is the set of all closed one forms on the space of three metrics),and the $\natural$ refers to the inverse of the ♩ map. As this map is an isomorphism (when restricted to Γ_E), so we find that the canonical Lagrangian vector field admits the split

$$(\mathrm{d}_\delta\Theta)^{\natural}|_{\Gamma_E} = \mathcal{E}v_{\sqrt{\frac{T}{4R}}} + \mathcal{G}_{\xi^a}, \tag{36}$$

where $\mathcal{E}v_{\sqrt{\frac{T}{4R}}}$ is the vector field responsible for true physical evolution of the system and $\mathcal{G}_{\xi^a}$ is the vector field which represents the infinitesimal action of the three-Diffeomorphism group (rather its proper subgroup $Diff_F(\Sigma)$). It is given by

$$\mathcal{G}_{\xi^a} = -[\mathcal{L}_{\xi^a}\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}}k^{ab}]\frac{\delta}{\delta k^{ab}} + [\mathcal{L}_{\xi^a}\gamma_{ab}\frac{\delta}{\delta\gamma_{ab}}], \tag{37}$$

and the evolutionary vector field is

$$\mathcal{E}v_{\sqrt{\frac{T}{4R}}} = \sqrt{\frac{4T}{\gamma R}}[k_{ab}]\frac{\delta}{\delta\gamma_{ab}} - [\sqrt{\frac{\gamma T}{4R}}(R^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma^{ab}R) - \sqrt{\frac{T}{\gamma R}}(k^{ac}k^{b}_{c} - \frac{1}{2}kk^{ab}) \tag{38}$$

$$-\nabla^{a}\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}}\nabla^{b}\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}} + \gamma^{ab}\nabla^{2}\sqrt{\frac{T}{4\gamma R}}]\frac{\delta}{\delta k^{ab}}. \tag{39}$$

3.3 Observables and Perennials

There exists a natural identification of the observables and perennials of this system given by the following distributions on phase space: We define the set of perennials as

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{D} = \left\{ f(\gamma_{ab}, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab}; \lambda) : X_{E}\left[f(\gamma_{ab}, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab}; \lambda) \right] = 0 \right\}. \tag{40}$$

The set of observables is defined by the set

$$\mathcal{O} = \left\{ g(\gamma_{ab}, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab}; \lambda) : \mathcal{G}_{\xi^{a}}\left[g(\gamma_{ab}, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab}; \lambda) \right] = 0 \right\}. \tag{41}$$

And $\mathcal{D}$ is

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ h(\gamma_{ab}, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab}; \lambda) : \mathcal{E}v_{\sqrt{\frac{T}{4R}}}\left[h(\gamma_{ab}, \sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{4T}}k_{ab}; \lambda) \right] = 0 \right\}. \tag{42}$$

It is known that for vacuum gravitational field, any such observable must be a highly non-local quantity. The ADM mass is an example.

4 Symmetry and Reduction

We shall now attain superspace $S_F(\Sigma) = \frac{Met(\Sigma)}{Diff_F(\Sigma)}$ via a velocity phase space reduction.

4.1 The Moment Map Associated with the Symmetry

The Lie algebra of the group acting on phase space is $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{diff}_F(\Sigma)$. We need to find a moment map

$$\mu : \Gamma_E \to \mathfrak{diff}^{*}_{F}(\Sigma). \tag{43}$$

(Here $\mathfrak{diff}^{*}_{F}(\Sigma)$ is the dual of $\mathfrak{diff}_F$.) This moment map is $Diff_F(\Sigma)$ equivariant. Thus we consider the level set preserved by $Diff_F(\Sigma)$

$$\Gamma_E(0) = \{m \in \Gamma_E | \mu(m) = 0\}. \tag{44}$$

These level sets foliate the constraint submanifold into gauge orbits. It isn't hard to see that the phase space function satisfying these conditions for this particular system is

$$2\nabla_a\left(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma R}{T}}G^{abcd}[\dot{\gamma}_{cd}-\mathcal{L}_{\xi^c}\gamma_{cd}]\right)=\phi(\xi). \tag{45}$$

This is nothing but the the diffeomorphism constraint. Its $Diff_F(\Sigma)$ equivariance is shown via

$$\iota_{\mathcal{G}_{\xi^a}}\phi(\zeta^b)=\phi([\xi^a,\zeta^b]). \tag{46}$$

This is analogous to the best matching procedure of the original BFÓ approach.

4.2 The Reduction

In this section the (pre-)symplectic reduction of the phase space by the symmetry is presented. We begin by applying the Marsden–Weinstein Reduction theorem (which we truncate accordingly for the presymplectic case). Let $\tilde{\Gamma}_E := \Gamma_E(0)/Diff_F(\Sigma)$. $\Gamma_E(0)$ could also be written as $\mu^{-1}(0)$.Now, the reduction theorem tells us that there is an inclusion

$$i:\mu^{-1}(0)\to\Gamma_E$$

, and another map

$$j:\mu^{-1}(0)\to\tilde{\Gamma}_E,$$

for which there exists a symplectic form $\omega\in\Omega^2(\tilde{\Gamma}_E)$, so that

$$i^*\Omega=\jmath^*\omega.$$

Thus we see that after reduction we go to

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_E\equiv\Gamma_E(0)//Diff_F(\Sigma),$$

and

$$\Gamma_E(0)//Diff_F\subset TS_F(\Sigma)=T(Met(\Sigma)/Diff_F(\Sigma)). \tag{47}$$

And the symmetry group here is the proper subgroup of the Diffeomorphism group where the group action fixes a preferred point $\infty\in\Sigma$ i.e

$$Diff_F(\Sigma)=\left\{\phi\in Diff(\Sigma)|\phi(\infty)=\infty,\phi_*(\infty)=Id|_{T_\infty\Sigma}\right\}. \tag{48}$$

This ensures that the action of this group is free and proper when Σ is Closed and Compact, which is true for the topology of S^3 that we have fixed. Now we can arrive at Superspace from

$$\begin{tikzcd}
TS_F(\Sigma) \arrow[dr, "\tau_{S_F(\Sigma)}"'] & & \Gamma_E(0)//Diff_F \arrow[ll, "I"'] \arrow[dl, "I\circ\tau_{S_F(\Sigma)}"] \\
& S_F(\Sigma) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $\tau_{S_F(\Sigma)}$ is the bundle projection and I is the inclusion map from the reduced phase space to the tangent bundle of Superspace which can be explicitly obtained by

$$\begin{tikzcd}
TMet(\Sigma) \arrow[dr, "\rho_{Met(\Sigma)}"] \arrow[dd, "\pi_E"] & & \\
 & Met(\Sigma) & S_F(\Sigma) \arrow[l, "K"'] \\
\Gamma_E \arrow[ur, "\rho_{Met(\Sigma)} \circ \pi_E^{-1}"] & \Gamma_E(0)//Diff(\Sigma) \arrow[l, "J"'] \arrow[ur, "(K^{-1} \circ (\rho_{Met(\Sigma)} \circ \pi_E^{-1}) \circ J) \circ \tau_{S_F}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where J and K are inclusions from the quotient space to their respective total spaces. Hence we see that

$$I := K^{-1} \circ (\rho_{Met(\Sigma)} \circ \pi_E^{-1}) \circ J.$$

And so we have obtained the map required to take us from the reduced phase space to superspace.

5 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have shown that the dynamics of Three Space General Relativity can be dealt with on the tangent bundle of the space of Riemannian metrics by studying the presymplectic structure associated to it. Also, the first principles and the action of the BFÓ approach remain intact and the presymplectic two form is derived out of the BFÓ action. We find that the notion of observables and perennials arises naturally without considering the Poisson brackets of the functions with the constraints.We have also shown that the reduced phase space admits a projection to superspace and that the reduction procedure is similar to the best matching procedure of the BFÓ theory. Even though this formalism relies on nothing but the first principles of the 'Relativity Without Relativity' approach, a purely Hamiltonian framework is still necessary and this shall be the subject of future papers.

6 Acknowledgments

This work was carried out at the Center For Fundamental Research And Creative Education, Bangalore, India, under the guidance of Dr.B.S Ramachandra whom we wish acknowledge. We would also like to sincerely thank Julian Barbour for very valuable advice.

References

[1] Julian Barbour, Brendan Foster , Niall Murchadha, *Relativity without relativity* arXiv:gr-qc/0012089v3

[2] Domenico Giulini, *The Superspace of Geometrodynamics* arXiv:0902.3923v1 [gr-qc]

[3] Andrew Randono, *A New Perspective on Covariant Canonical Gravity* arXiv:0805.3169v2 [gr-qc]

[4] Julian Barbour, Brendan Z. Foster, *Constraints and gauge transformations: Dirac's theorem is not always valid* , arXiv:0808.1223v1 [gr-qc]

[5] Mark J. Gotay, James M. Nester, and George Hinds , *Presymplectic manifolds and the Dirac Bergmann theory of constraints* J. Math. Phys. 19, 2388 (1978); http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.523597

[6] Andrew Randono, *Canonical Lagrangian Dynamics and General Relativity*, arXiv:0802.2230v2 [gr-qc]

[7] K. V. Kuchař, *Time and interpretations of quantum gravity*, in Proceedings of the 4th Canadian Conference on General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics, World Scientific, Singapore, pp 211314 (1992).